

**St. Lawrence University Course Evaluation Summary - Control #173
20102011 spr - ECON 315A - Public Sector Economics - Runst, Petrik**

TOTAL EVALUATIONS

This Course	13
Total Undergraduate	7456

STUDENT BACKGROUNDS

Your primary reason(s) for enrolling in this course

			Univ.
Major	11	92%	45%
Minor	-	-	11%
Distribution/Diversity	-	-	15%
Interest in subject	1	8%	28%
Instructor	-	-	5%
Other	-	-	9%
Unduplicated Count	12	100%	100%

Gender

			Univ.
Male	8	89%	43%
Female	1	11%	53%
Other	-	-	1%
Prefer not to disclose	-	-	3%
Total	9	100%	100%

Class Year

			Univ.
First Year	-	-	31%
Sophomore	1	9%	26%
Junior	7	64%	21%
Senior	3	27%	21%
Graduate Student	-	-	-
Other	-	-	1%
Total	11	100%	100%

STUDENT RESPONSES

Q1: Taking this course has been a valuable educational experience

			Univ.
Agree strongly	5	38%	36%
Agree	7	54%	43%
Agree somewhat	-	-	13%
Neutral	-	-	5%
Disagree somewhat	1	8%	2%
Disagree	-	-	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	13	100%	100%

Q2: The course was effectively organized

			Univ.
Agree strongly	2	15%	33%
Agree	9	69%	43%
Agree somewhat	1	8%	13%
Neutral	-	-	5%
Disagree somewhat	1	8%	3%
Disagree	-	-	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	13	100%	100%

Q3: The instructor created an environment conducive to learning

			Univ.
Agree Strongly	6	46%	42%
Agree	6	46%	40%
Agree Somewhat	-	-	11%
Neutral	1	8%	4%
Disagree Somewhat	-	-	2%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree Strongly	-	-	-
Total	13	100%	100%

Q4a: In this course, the workload was

			Univ.
Too High	-	-	4%
(Somewhat High)	1	8%	14%
Appropriate	12	92%	79%
(Somewhat Low)	-	-	2%
Too Low	-	-	-
Total	13	100%	100%

Q4b: In this course, the grading standards were

			Univ.
Too High	1	8%	7%
(Somewhat High)	3	23%	18%
Appropriate	7	54%	73%
(Somewhat Low)	2	15%	2%
Too Low	-	-	-
Total	13	100%	100%

Q4c: In this course, the level of intellectual and/or creative sophistication required was

			Univ.
Too High	1	8%	2%
(Somewhat High)	3	23%	16%
Appropriate	9	69%	78%
(Somewhat Low)	-	-	4%
Too Low	-	-	1%
Total	13	100%	100%

* Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding. "Univ" refers to corresponding undergrad/grad-level semester totals.

Q5a: The instructor's assessments of student work were fair			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	-	-	27%
Agree	9	69%	49%
Agree somewhat	3	23%	11%
Neutral	-	-	8%
Disagree somewhat	1	8%	4%
Disagree	-	-	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	13	100%	100%

Q5b: The instructor's assessments of student work were timely			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	2	15%	29%
Agree	9	69%	43%
Agree somewhat	1	8%	11%
Neutral	1	8%	8%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	4%
Disagree	-	-	3%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	13	100%	100%

Q5c: The instructor's assessments of student work were constructive			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	4	31%	31%
Agree	7	54%	44%
Agree somewhat	1	8%	12%
Neutral	-	-	8%
Disagree somewhat	1	8%	3%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	13	100%	100%

Q6a: I would recommend this instructor to another student			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	7	54%	46%
Agree	5	38%	32%
Agree somewhat	-	-	9%
Neutral	-	-	6%
Disagree somewhat	1	8%	3%
Disagree	-	-	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	2%
Total	13	100%	100%

Q6b: I would recommend this course to another student			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	7	54%	39%
Agree	5	38%	34%
Agree somewhat	1	8%	12%
Neutral	-	-	9%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	3%
Disagree	-	-	3%
Disagree strongly	-	-	2%
Total	13	100%	100%

Q6c: The instructor was an effective teacher			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	5	38%	45%
Agree	6	46%	34%
Agree somewhat	1	8%	10%
Neutral	1	8%	6%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	2%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	13	100%	100%

Summary of Means			
		Mean	Univ.
Q1: Taking this course has been a valuable educational experience	-	6.2	6.0
Q2: The course was effectively organized	-	5.8	5.9
Q3: The instructor created an environment conducive to learning	-	6.3	6.1
Q5a: The instructor's assessments of student work were fair	-	5.5	5.8
Q5b: The instructor's assessments of student work were timely	-	5.9	5.7
Q5c: The instructor's assessments of student work were constructive	-	6.0	5.9
Q6a: I would recommend this instructor to another student	-	6.3	6.0
Q6b: I would recommend this course to another student	-	6.5	5.8
Q6c: The instructor was an effective teacher	-	6.2	6.1

Scale:
7 = Agree Strongly, 6 = Agree, 5 = Agree Somewhat, 4 = Neutral,
3 = Disagree Somewhat, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Disagree Strongly

* Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding. "Univ" refers to corresponding undergrad/grad-level semester totals.