

**St. Lawrence University Course Evaluation Summary - Control #143
20112012 spr - ECON 315A - Public Sector Economics - Runst, Petrik**

TOTAL EVALUATIONS

This Course	9
Total Undergraduate	7515

STUDENT BACKGROUNDS

Your primary reason(s) for enrolling in this course

			Univ.
Major	7	78%	44%
Minor	1	11%	12%
Distribution/Diversity	-	-	17%
Interest in subject	3	33%	27%
Instructor	-	-	5%
Other	-	-	9%
Unduplicated Count	9	100%	100%

Gender

			Univ.
Male	5	63%	44%
Female	3	38%	54%
Other	-	-	-
Prefer not to disclose	-	-	2%
Total	8	100%	100%

Class Year

			Univ.
First Year	-	-	32%
Sophomore	2	22%	27%
Junior	2	22%	17%
Senior	5	56%	23%
Graduate Student	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-
Total	9	100%	100%

STUDENT RESPONSES

Q1: Taking this course has been a valuable educational experience

			Univ.
Agree strongly	4	44%	39%
Agree	3	33%	42%
Agree somewhat	1	11%	11%
Neutral	1	11%	5%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	1%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	9	100%	100%

Q2: The course was effectively organized

			Univ.
Agree strongly	4	50%	36%
Agree	-	-	44%
Agree somewhat	4	50%	11%
Neutral	-	-	4%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	2%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	8	100%	100%

Q3: The instructor created an environment conducive to learning

			Univ.
Agree Strongly	6	67%	43%
Agree	1	11%	40%
Agree Somewhat	2	22%	10%
Neutral	-	-	4%
Disagree Somewhat	-	-	2%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree Strongly	-	-	1%
Total	9	100%	100%

Q4a: In this course, the workload was

			Univ.
Too High	-	-	3%
(Somewhat High)	-	-	14%
Appropriate	8	89%	81%
(Somewhat Low)	-	-	2%
Too Low	1	11%	-
Total	9	100%	100%

Q4b: In this course, the grading standards were

			Univ.
Too High	-	-	6%
(Somewhat High)	1	13%	18%
Appropriate	7	88%	75%
(Somewhat Low)	-	-	1%
Too Low	-	-	-
Total	8	100%	100%

Q4c: In this course, the level of intellectual and/or creative sophistication required was

			Univ.
Too High	-	-	2%
(Somewhat High)	-	-	14%
Appropriate	8	100%	80%
(Somewhat Low)	-	-	4%
Too Low	-	-	1%
Total	8	100%	100%

* Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding. "Univ" refers to undergrad (units >= 0.5) / grad (credits > 0)-level semester

Q5a: The instructor's assessments of student work were fair			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	4	44%	27%
Agree	3	33%	51%
Agree somewhat	2	22%	9%
Neutral	-	-	7%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	4%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	9	100%	100%

Q5b: The instructor's assessments of student work were timely			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	4	44%	31%
Agree	4	44%	45%
Agree somewhat	-	-	9%
Neutral	-	-	8%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	4%
Disagree	-	-	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	8	100%	100%

Q5c: The instructor's assessments of student work were constructive			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	6	67%	33%
Agree	3	33%	45%
Agree somewhat	-	-	10%
Neutral	-	-	8%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	2%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	9	100%	100%

Q6a: I would recommend this instructor to another student			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	5	56%	48%
Agree	3	33%	32%
Agree somewhat	1	11%	9%
Neutral	-	-	5%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	2%
Disagree	-	-	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	9	100%	100%

Q6b: I would recommend this course to another student			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	4	44%	40%
Agree	4	44%	35%
Agree somewhat	-	-	11%
Neutral	-	-	8%
Disagree somewhat	1	11%	2%
Disagree	-	-	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	9	100%	100%

Q6c: The instructor was an effective teacher			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	4	44%	47%
Agree	4	44%	35%
Agree somewhat	-	-	9%
Neutral	1	11%	5%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	2%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	9	100%	100%

Summary of Means		
	Mean	Univ.
Q1: Taking this course has been a valuable educational experience	6.1	6.1
Q2: The course was effectively organized	6.0	6.0
Q3: The instructor created an environment conducive to learning	6.4	6.1
Q5a: The instructor's assessments of student work were fair	6.2	5.8
Q5b: The instructor's assessments of student work were timely	6.5	5.8
Q5c: The instructor's assessments of student work were constructive	6.7	5.9
Q6a: I would recommend this instructor to another student	6.4	6.1
Q6b: I would recommend this course to another student	6.1	5.9
Q6c: The instructor was an effective teacher	6.2	6.1

Scale:
7 = Agree Strongly, 6 = Agree, 5 = Agree Somewhat, 4 = Neutral,
3 = Disagree Somewhat, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Disagree Strongly