

**St. Lawrence University Course Evaluation Summary - Control #134
20112012 spr - ECON 200A - Quantitative Methods - Runst, Petrik**

TOTAL EVALUATIONS

This Course	24
Total Undergraduate	7515

STUDENT BACKGROUNDS

Your primary reason(s) for enrolling in this course

			Univ.
Major	22	92%	44%
Minor	1	4%	12%
Distribution/Diversity	-	-	17%
Interest in subject	2	8%	27%
Instructor	-	-	5%
Other	-	-	9%
Unduplicated Count	24	100%	100%

Gender

			Univ.
Male	16	70%	44%
Female	6	26%	54%
Other	1	4%	-
Prefer not to disclose	-	-	2%
Total	23	100%	100%

Class Year

			Univ.
First Year	10	42%	32%
Sophomore	13	54%	27%
Junior	-	-	17%
Senior	1	4%	23%
Graduate Student	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-
Total	24	100%	100%

STUDENT RESPONSES

Q1: Taking this course has been a valuable educational experience

			Univ.
Agree strongly	6	25%	39%
Agree	6	25%	42%
Agree somewhat	10	42%	11%
Neutral	2	8%	5%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	1%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	24	100%	100%

Q2: The course was effectively organized

			Univ.
Agree strongly	5	21%	36%
Agree	12	50%	44%
Agree somewhat	5	21%	11%
Neutral	-	-	4%
Disagree somewhat	1	4%	2%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	23	100%	100%

Q3: The instructor created an environment conducive to learning

			Univ.
Agree Strongly	8	33%	43%
Agree	13	54%	40%
Agree Somewhat	3	13%	10%
Neutral	-	-	4%
Disagree Somewhat	-	-	2%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree Strongly	-	-	1%
Total	24	100%	100%

Q4a: In this course, the workload was

			Univ.
Too High	-	-	3%
(Somewhat High)	1	4%	14%
Appropriate	23	96%	81%
(Somewhat Low)	-	-	2%
Too Low	-	-	-
Total	24	100%	100%

Q4b: In this course, the grading standards were

			Univ.
Too High	1	4%	6%
(Somewhat High)	2	8%	18%
Appropriate	21	88%	75%
(Somewhat Low)	-	-	1%
Too Low	-	-	-
Total	24	100%	100%

Q4c: In this course, the level of intellectual and/or creative sophistication required was

			Univ.
Too High	-	-	2%
(Somewhat High)	3	13%	14%
Appropriate	21	88%	80%
(Somewhat Low)	-	-	4%
Too Low	-	-	1%
Total	24	100%	100%

* Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding. "Univ" refers to undergrad (units >= 0.5) / grad (credits > 0)-level semester

Q5a: The instructor's assessments of student work were fair			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	6	25%	27%
Agree	13	54%	51%
Agree somewhat	2	8%	9%
Neutral	3	13%	7%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	4%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	24	100%	100%

Q5b: The instructor's assessments of student work were timely			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	8	33%	31%
Agree	15	63%	45%
Agree somewhat	-	-	9%
Neutral	1	4%	8%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	4%
Disagree	-	-	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	24	100%	100%

Q5c: The instructor's assessments of student work were constructive			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	6	25%	33%
Agree	13	54%	45%
Agree somewhat	4	17%	10%
Neutral	1	4%	8%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	2%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	24	100%	100%

Q6a: I would recommend this instructor to another student			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	9	38%	48%
Agree	9	38%	32%
Agree somewhat	4	17%	9%
Neutral	-	-	5%
Disagree somewhat	1	4%	2%
Disagree	1	4%	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	24	100%	100%

Q6b: I would recommend this course to another student			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	6	25%	40%
Agree	7	29%	35%
Agree somewhat	4	17%	11%
Neutral	4	17%	8%
Disagree somewhat	2	8%	2%
Disagree	1	4%	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	24	100%	100%

Q6c: The instructor was an effective teacher			
			Univ.
Agree strongly	8	33%	47%
Agree	10	42%	35%
Agree somewhat	4	17%	9%
Neutral	1	4%	5%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	2%
Disagree	1	4%	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	24	100%	100%

Summary of Means		
	Mean	Univ.
Q1: Taking this course has been a valuable educational experience	5.7	6.1
Q2: The course was effectively organized	5.9	6.0
Q3: The instructor created an environment conducive to learning	6.2	6.1
Q5a: The instructor's assessments of student work were fair	5.9	5.8
Q5b: The instructor's assessments of student work were timely	6.3	5.8
Q5c: The instructor's assessments of student work were constructive	6.0	5.9
Q6a: I would recommend this instructor to another student	5.9	6.1
Q6b: I would recommend this course to another student	5.3	5.9
Q6c: The instructor was an effective teacher	5.9	6.1

Scale:
7 = Agree Strongly, 6 = Agree, 5 = Agree Somewhat, 4 = Neutral,
3 = Disagree Somewhat, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Disagree Strongly