

control #181

St. Lawrence University Course Evaluation Summary
20102011 fall - ECON 348A - SPTP:EntrepreneurshipMultiContex - Runst, Petrik

TOTAL EVALUATIONS	N
This Course	12
Total Undergraduate	7916

STUDENT BACKGROUND

Your primary reason(s) for enrolling in this course			Univ.
Major	10	83%	41%
Minor	-	-	10%
Distribution/Diversity	-	-	18%
Interest in subject	2	17%	29%
Instructor	-	-	3%
Other	-	-	9%
No response	2	8%	-
Unduplicated Count	12	100%	100%

Class Year			Univ.
First Year	-	-	30%
Sophomore	-	-	25%
Junior	5	45%	22%
Senior	6	55%	22%
Graduate Student	-	-	-
Other	-	-	1%
Total	11	100%	100%

Gender			Univ.
Male	9	90%	44%
Female	1	10%	53%
Other	-	-	1%
Prefer not to disclose	-	-	2%
Total	10	100%	100%

STUDENT RESPONSES

Q1: Taking this course has been a valuable educational experience			Univ.
Agree strongly	5	42%	37%
Agree	7	58%	41%
Agree somewhat	-	-	13%
Neutral	-	-	5%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	2%
Disagree	-	-	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	12	100%	100%

Q2: The course was effectively organized			Univ.
Agree strongly	4	33%	34%
Agree	8	67%	42%
Agree somewhat	-	-	13%
Neutral	-	-	5%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	3%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	12	100%	100%

Q3: The instructor created an environment conducive to learning			Univ.
Agree Strongly	8	67%	41%
Agree	4	33%	40%
Agree Somewhat	-	-	10%
Neutral	-	-	5%
Disagree Somewhat	-	-	2%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree Strongly	-	-	1%
Total	12	100%	100%

Q4a: In this course, the workload was			Univ.
Too High	-	-	4%
(Somewhat High)	-	-	17%
Appropriate	11	92%	76%
(Somewhat Low)	1	8%	3%
Too Low	-	-	-
Total	12	100%	100%

Q4b: In this course, the grading standards were			Univ.
Too High	-	-	7%
(Somewhat High)	1	8%	19%
Appropriate	10	83%	72%
(Somewhat Low)	1	8%	2%
Too Low	-	-	-
Total	12	100%	100%

Q4c: In this course, the level of intellectual and/or creative sophistication required was			Univ.
Too High	-	-	2%
(Somewhat High)	2	17%	17%
Appropriate	10	83%	75%
(Somewhat Low)	-	-	5%
Too Low	-	-	1%
Total	12	100%	100%

* Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding. "Univ" refers to corresponding undergrad/graduate-level semester

Q5a: The instructor's assessments of student work were fair Univ.

Agree strongly	1	8%	27%
Agree	9	75%	48%
Agree somewhat	1	8%	10%
Neutral	1	8%	8%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	5%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	12	100%	100%

Q5b: The instructor's assessments of student work were timely Univ.

Agree strongly	4	33%	29%
Agree	6	50%	43%
Agree somewhat	2	17%	11%
Neutral	-	-	9%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	4%
Disagree	-	-	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	12	100%	100%

Q5c: The instructor's assessments of student work were constructive Univ.

Agree strongly	5	42%	32%
Agree	5	42%	44%
Agree somewhat	1	8%	12%
Neutral	1	8%	8%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	3%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	12	100%	100%

Q6a: I would recommend this instructor to another student Univ.

Agree strongly	8	67%	46%
Agree	3	25%	32%
Agree somewhat	1	8%	9%
Neutral	-	-	7%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	3%
Disagree	-	-	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	2%
Total	12	100%	100%

Q6b: I would recommend this course to another student Univ.

Agree strongly	9	75%	39%
Agree	2	17%	34%
Agree somewhat	1	8%	12%
Neutral	-	-	8%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	3%
Disagree	-	-	2%
Disagree strongly	-	-	2%
Total	12	100%	100%

Q6c: The instructor was an effective teacher Univ.

Agree strongly	6	50%	46%
Agree	4	33%	35%
Agree somewhat	2	17%	10%
Neutral	-	-	6%
Disagree somewhat	-	-	2%
Disagree	-	-	1%
Disagree strongly	-	-	1%
Total	12	100%	100%

Summary of Means Mean Univ.

Q1: Taking this course has been a valuable educational experience	6.4	6.0
Q2: The course was effectively organized	6.3	5.9
Q3: The instructor created an environment conducive to learning	6.7	6.1
Q5a: The instructor's assessments of student work were fair	5.8	5.8
Q5b: The instructor's assessments of student work were timely	6.2	5.7
Q5c: The instructor's assessments of student work were constructive	6.2	5.9
Q6a: I would recommend this instructor to another student	6.6	6.0
Q6b: I would recommend this course to another student	6.7	5.9
Q6c: The instructor was an effective teacher	6.3	6.1

Scale:
7 = Agree Strongly, 6 = Agree, 5 = Agree Somewhat, 4 = Neutral, 3 = Disagree Somewhat, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Disagree Strongly

* Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding. "Univ" refers to corresponding undergrad/graduate-level semester

Comment on ways in which this course has or has not helped your learning:

This course has been my favorite economics class at St. Lawrence. The readings, class discussions, and assignments have challenged me and as a result made me a better student.

List any characteristics or teaching techniques that have enhanced the instructor's effectiveness as a teacher:

His ability to generate meaningful class discussions was very impressive.

Comment on ways in which this course has or has not helped your learning:

Best class I've had so far. Very interesting topic, interesting readings and great professor.

List any characteristics or teaching techniques that have enhanced the instructor's effectiveness as a teacher:

He is very engaging and the readings, discussions and power points were very relevant.